## **HOUSTON EXAMINER**

May 23, 2009

Heather Lott, a former employee of Local 19 of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, lost her appeal of the 18-month sentence that United States District Judge Vanessa Gilmore imposed for embezzling union funds.

In a written opinion, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit rejected Ms. Lott's argument that the District Court erred in imposing an abuse-of-trust enhancement.

A grand jury indicted Ms. Lott in 2007 for stealing approximately \$140,000 from Local 19.

News releases from the Office of the U.S. Attorney of the Southern District of Texas reported the indictment and surrender of Ms. Lott and her sentencing in case number 4:07-cr-00430.

According to the latter news release, Ms. Lott had been a bookkeeper for Local 19, the labor organization to which many employees of Continental Airlines belong. Ms. Lott pled guilty on April 7, 2008. In July of last year, Judge Gilmore imposed a sentence of 18 months in federal prison and ordered Ms. Lott to pay \$140,952.24 in restitution. Judge Gilmore denied a motion for bond pending appeal on February 4, 2009. On appeal, a three-judge panel of the Fifth Circuit concluded that a reversal of the sentence was not warranted even if the District Court clearly or obviously erred in finding that Ms. Lott occupied a position of trust. The panel found that Ms. Lott had not shown a reasonable probability that, but for the alleged error, the District Court would have imposed a lesser sentence.

Judge Gilmore ordered Ms. Lott to report to a federal prison camp by September 18, 2008.

The inmate locator service of the Federal Bureau of Prisons reveals that the projected release date for Ms. Lott is January 5, 2010.





## Court of Appeals affirms former Teamsters bookkeeper's sentence for embezzling union funds



Frank Taylor Houston U.S. District Court Examiner May 23, 2009

Heather Lott, a former employee of Local 19 of the International Brotherhood of Teamsters, lost her appeal of the 18-month sentence that United States District Judge Vanessa Gilmore imposed for embezzling union funds. In a written opinion, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit rejected Ms. Lott's argument that the District Court erred in imposing an

abuse-of-trust enhancement.

A grand jury indicted Ms. Lott in 2007 for stealing approximately \$140,000 from Local 19. News releases from the Office of the U.S. Attorney of the Southern District of Texas reported the indictment and surrender of Ms. Lott and her sentencing in case number 4:07-cr-00430. According to the latter news release, Ms. Lott had been a bookkeeper for Local 19, the labor organization to which many employees of Continental Airlines belong.

Ms. Lott pled guilty on April 7, 2008. In July of last year, Judge Gilmore imposed a sentence of 18 months in federal prison and ordered Ms. Lott to pay \$140,952.24 in restitution. Judge Gilmore denied a motion for bond pending appeal on February 4, 2009.

On appeal, a three-judge panel of the Fifth Circuit concluded that a reversal of the sentence was not warranted even if the District Court clearly or obviously erred in finding that Ms. Lott occupied a position of trust. The panel found that Ms. Lott had not shown a reasonable probability that, but for the alleged error, the District Court would have imposed a lesser sentence.

Judge Gilmore ordered Ms. Lott to report to a federal prison camp by September 18, 2008. The inmate locator service of the Federal Bureau of Prisons reveals that the projected release date for Ms. Lott is January 5, 2010.

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Cou

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

**FILED** May 22, 2009

No. 08-20455 Summary Calendar

Charles R. Fulbruge III
Clerk

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff-Appellee

v.

HEATHER LOTT

Defendant-Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas USDC No. 4:07-CR-430-1

Before WIENER, STEWART, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM:\*

Defendant-Appellant Heather Lott appeals her 18-month sentence for embezzling union funds. She argues that the district court erred in imposing an abuse-of-trust enhancement pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3B1.3.

Following *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), sentences are reviewed for reasonableness in light of the sentencing factors in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). See *United States v. Mares*, 402 F.3d 511, 519-20 (5th Cir. 2005). Pursuant to *Gall v. United States*, 128 S. Ct. 586, 596-97 (2007), we must

 $<sup>^{*}</sup>$  Pursuant to 5th Cir. R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5th Cir. R. 47.5.4.

determine whether the sentence imposed is procedurally sound, including whether the calculation of the advisory guidelines range is correct, and whether the sentence imposed is substantively reasonable. Normally, our review is for an abuse of discretion. *Id.* at 597. As Lott failed to object to the § 3B1.3 enhancement, however, our review is for plain error. *See United States v. Rodriguez-Rodriguez*, 530 F.3d 381, 387-88 (5th Cir. 2008). To show plain error, the appellant must identify a forfeited error that is clear or obvious and that affects her substantial rights. *Puckett v. United States*, 129 S. Ct. 1423, 1429 (2009). If the appellant makes such a showing, we have the discretion to correct the error, but only if we conclude that it seriously affects the fairness, integrity, or public reputation of judicial proceedings. *Id.* To demonstrate an effect on her substantial rights in the context of a misapplication of the Guidelines, Lott must show a reasonable probability that, but for the sentencing court's error, she would have received a lesser sentence. *See United States v. Villegas*, 404 F.3d 355, 364 (5th Cir. 2005).

A § 3B1.3 enhancement is appropriate if a defendant occupied a position of trust and used that position to significantly facilitate the commission or concealment of the offense. *United States v. Ollison*, 555 F.3d 152, 165 (5th Cir. 2009). "A position of trust is characterized by (1) professional or managerial discretion (i.e., substantial discretionary judgment that is ordinarily given considerable deference), and (2) minimal supervision." *Ollison*, 555 F.3d at 166.

Even assuming that the district court clearly or obviously erred in finding that Lott occupied a position of trust, reversal is not warranted. In declining Lott's request for a downward variance, the district court stated that an 18-month sentence was appropriate in light of all relevant sentencing considerations. Albeit at the top, the sentence imposed falls within the assertedly correct guidelines range. Lott has not shown a reasonable probability that, but for the alleged error, the district court would have imposed a lesser sentence. See Villegas, 404 F.3d at 364. We therefore AFFIRM.